

CHARLESTON SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY

OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

MANUAL OF ACADEMIC POLICIES & PROCEDURES

TITLE	NUMBER	PAGE	DATE OF INCEPTION
Academic Integrity	Policy R-58	1 of 4	March 25, 2003

A Community of Honor

As a liberal arts university committed to the Christian faith, Charleston Southern University seeks to develop ethical men and women of disciplined, creative minds and lives, focusing on leadership, service, and learning. The Honor System of Charleston Southern University is designed to provide an academic community of trust in which students can enjoy the opportunity to grow both intellectually and personally. For these purposes, the following rules and guidelines will be applied.

Academic Dishonesty

"Academic Dishonesty" is the transfer, receipt, or use of academic information, or the attempted transfer, receipt, or use of academic information in a manner not authorized by the instructor or by university rules. It includes, but is not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, and forgery as well as aiding or encouraging another to commit academic dishonesty.

"Cheating" is defined as wrongfully giving, taking, or presenting any information or material borrowed from another source (including the Internet) by a student with the intent of aiding himself or another in academic work. This includes, but is not limited to, a test, examination, presentation, experiment, or any written assignment, which is considered in any way in the determination of the final grade. Using AI in violation of a course or assessment's stated AI protocol is cheating.

"Plagiarism" is the taking or attempted taking of an idea, a writing, a graphic, music composition, art, or datum of another person or Artificial Intelligence (AI) tool without giving proper credit and presenting or attempting to present it as one's own, with or without intent. It is also taking written material of one's own that have been used for a previous course assignment and using it without reference to it in its original form. Any use of AI models without proper citation is plagiarism. Students are encouraged to ask their instructor(s) for clarification regarding their academic dishonesty standards. Instructors will include academic dishonesty/integrity standards, including explicit permissible AI use, on their course syllabi and each individual assignment.

Procedure for a violation that occurs while taking a course

A. It is the responsibility of an instructor to certify that academic assignments are independently mastered sufficiently to merit course credit. The responsibility of the student is to independently master academic assignments, refrain from acts of academic dishonesty, and refuse to aid or tolerate the academic dishonesty of others.

B. In normal situations, a faculty member should determine if academic dishonesty occurred within ten business days of noticing a possible infraction. After the faculty member has contacted or attempted to contact the student via email about the possibility of academic dishonesty, the faculty member will determine if there is an academic violation. Once the faculty member has determined that there has been an academic violation, the faculty member will submit the Academic Violation Form (AVF) to the student and the registrar in one email. Within the AVF, the instructor will determine the appropriate penalty: either to assign a grade of zero on that assignment in question or a grade of "F" in the course. This email should include all supporting evidence, including proof of communication attempts (such as email or Blackboard correspondence with screenshots), the course syllabus, assignment instructions or rubric, AI reports, photos, videos, and any other relevant documentation.

The student will designate whether they accept the penalty for the violation of the Academic Integrity Policy or wishes to appeal the instructor's decision. If a student elects to appeal, the "appeal due date" line will be completed

on the Academic Violation Form by the Registrar's office upon receiving the form. (See the Appeals section below for more information regarding the appeals process)

C. The Registrar will place the AVF in the student's official records at the same time that the registrar forwards copies of the Academic Violation Form to the student, the academic advisor, the faculty member, the department chair or the graduate director, the academic dean, the dean of students, the provost or VPAA, and the president. The Registrar will place the AVF in the student's academic record and will assign a due date for a possible appeal. Not appealing by the appeal due date will be taken as an admission of guilt, except under compelling circumstances to be determined at the sole discretion of the provost or VPAA.

D. If upon receipt of the Academic Violation Form the Registrar determines this is the second offense committed by the student, then a grade of FD (Failure Due to Academic Dishonesty) will be assigned by the Registrar for the course regardless of the penalty specified on the Academic Violation Form. Assigning the FD is to be done by the Registrar when the second Academic Violation Form is placed in the student's record, and the Registrar will notify all parties in writing. The undergraduate student is then permanently barred from membership in any honorary society and permanently ineligible for any CSU honor list. Graduate students should refer to the academic catalog for additional information about consequences.

E. Upon receipt by the Registrar of a third offense, the Registrar will assign the grade of FD and then notify all parties. After all appeals are exhausted, if the third offense still stands, the student will be immediately and permanently expelled from the University. All tuition and fees will be due to the University regardless of when in the semester the expulsion occurs. Students will be awarded grades of AW in their remaining courses. The notice of expulsion will be forwarded to the student, advisor, department chair, appropriate academic dean, dean of students, provost or VPAA, and president. A copy of the final report with the three offenses will become a part of the student's permanent record. The university reserves the right to expel the student after a first or second offense, depending on the circumstances and at the sole discretion of the provost or VPAA.

Appeals

A. The student who wishes to appeal an academic violation charge should submit their appeal in writing by e-mail or letter to the Registrar's office by the appeal due date indicated on the Academic Violation Form, which is normally within five business days after the received date of the Academic Violation Form by the Registrar. This statement should contain the reasons for which the student is appealing the instructor's decision. The burden of proof lies with the student in such a case to show that an error or malfeasance has occurred. Within five business days of receiving the written appeal, the department chair or graduate director will notify the instructor, the student, and the Registrar of their decision in writing. If the instructor who files the Academic Violation Form is the Department Chair or Graduate Director, or if the Department Chair or Graduate Director is currently teaching the student in another course, then the student must appeal directly to the appropriate Academic Dean.

B. When, in the opinion of the department chair, graduate director, or dean, the student fails to show reasonable cause for further investigation, the chair, graduate director, or dean may deny the appeal. When, in the opinion of the department chair, graduate director, or dean, a student's appeal raises reasonable doubt as to whether a mistake or malfeasance has occurred, the chair, graduate director, or dean will meet with the faculty member and with the student and render a decision within five regularly scheduled class days of the receipt of the appeal. If the decision favors granting the student's appeal, the department chair, graduate director, or dean will request that the Registrar remove the Academic Violation Form from the student's record. The chair, graduate director, or dean will notify both the student and the instructor of this action. If the student appeal is received during Summer I, Summer II or during final exam week of the Fall or Spring semesters and if the instructor, department chair, or dean is not available during that time, all of the foregoing time requirements begin to run with the first day of class in the next major term (Fall or Spring semesters). In extreme or unusual circumstances regarding the timeliness of the appeals process, the provost or VPAA will make the final determination.

C. Any student who has exhausted the remedies open under the procedures outlined above may appeal the entire matter to the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee (AIC) in writing within five regularly scheduled class days of receiving the response from the department chair. The appeal should be turned in to the Registrar's office. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee will review the matter and issue a decision within

ten (10) regularly scheduled class days. In its deliberations, the AIC may request additional resources from or schedule interviews with the student, faculty member, chair, dean, or any other involved party to determine if a mistake or malfeasance has occurred. If the appeal to the Academic Integrity Appeals committee is submitted during Summer I, Summer II or during final exam week of the Fall or Spring semesters, and if members of the Committee are unavailable, the due date for a decision shall be within ten days from the beginning of the next major semester (Fall or Spring). The Academic Integrity Appeals Committee has the authority to deny the appeal, reduce the penalties in the event of extenuating circumstances, or direct the Registrar to remove the record of the Academic Violation Form from the student's permanent record. The results of the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee are final.

D. All results from the proceedings of the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee should be reported to the appropriate advisor, graduate director (if applicable) academic dean, dean of students, provost or VPAA, and president as information.

E. The Academic Integrity Appeals Committee (AIC) will be composed of seven members: five faculty and two students. Faculty members must be full-time faculty and are elected by the general faculty: two (2) combined from the College of Humanities and Social Sciences and from the College of Christian Studies, one (1) from the College of Science and Mathematics, and two (2) combined from the Professional Schools. For cases involving graduate students, the AIC Chair will appoint two voting graduate faculty members to assist with the appeal. Faculty members are elected for three-year terms and will fill out their terms even as the Committee transitions from a larger elected group to the precise composition of members described here. Service dates are staggered, so only some positions are up for election each year. The two student members are appointed by the Student Government Association officers for one-year terms. Students who have been found guilty of an academic misconduct violation may not serve on the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee. If a member of the Academic Integrity Appeals Committee is unavailable, is involved in the matter being appealed, or feels that they must excuse themselves then that member will be replaced. It is expected that faculty and student members who have personal biases that may hinder impartiality regarding the professor or student will personally recuse themselves. If the member being replaced is a faculty member, then the provost or VPAA will select the replacement. Similarly, if the member being replaced is a student, then the Student Government Association officers or dean of students will select the replacement. The Academic Integrity Appeals Committee is distinct from the Faculty Appeals Committee that hears appeals of final grades as defined in policy R-45 of the CSU Policy and Procedure Manual.

F. A student who has had two Academic Violation Forms (AVF) placed in his or her academic record will be sent the Academic Dishonesty Restoration Process (ADRP). If the student wishes to restore their FD grade to an F, they must successfully complete the prescribed ADRP and reach a 12-month waiting period since the last offense. The student must complete the packet and return it to the Office of the Registrar within 60 (sixty) calendar days of receipt of the packet. Expelled students who have had three AVFs in their file may not utilize this process. This process may be used only once to remove only one FD. Successful completion of the process will not remove the AVF from the file.

G. Once the completed ADRP documents are submitted to the Registrar, the Academic Integrity Committee will be notified and will have 10 regularly scheduled class days to review the document and set a specific meeting time for the student to address the committee in person or via electronic video conferencing. The committee will offer two meeting times to the student, and the student bears responsibility to make one of the two proposed times. This meeting will allow the Committee to validate answers and to determine whether the student has learned through this process.

H. After the meeting and within 10 regularly scheduled class days of the meeting, the Academic Integrity Committee will vote to either 1) accept the ADRP work as is and thus remove the FD for an F grade, 2) reject the ADRP work as is and thus keep the FD grade, or 3) require more work from the student before requiring an additional meeting to make a final determination.

Note: During the appeals process, the student may continue to attend the class in which the violation occurred.

Procedure for a violation that occurs outside of a course

For any former or current student who is guilty of aiding and abetting another student in committing an academic integrity violation within another course, the following penalties (A-C below) will apply. Examples of inappropriate aiding and abetting would include posting to an online service papers or other work submitted to earn a grade.

A. If the violation is the student's first academic integrity violation, then the violation will be placed into the student's permanent file with the Registrar.

B. If the violation is the student's second academic integrity violation, then the student will receive a denotation on their transcript indicating an academic integrity violation. The student is then permanently barred from membership in any honorary society and is permanently ineligible for any CSU honor list. Graduate students should refer to the academic catalog for additional information about consequences.

C. If the violation is the student's third academic integrity offense, then the student will be immediately and permanently expelled from the University. All tuition and fees will be due to the University regardless of when in the semester the expulsion occurs. Students will be awarded grades of AW in their remaining courses. The notice of expulsion will be forwarded to the student, academic advisor, department chair or graduate director, appropriate academic dean, dean of students, provost or VPAA, and president. A copy of the final report with the three offenses will become a part of the student's permanent record. The university reserves the right to expel the student after a first or second offense, depending on the circumstances and at the sole discretion of the provost or VPAA.

Procedure for a violation that occurs through an approved course at another school

If a student receives an academic violation while taking a course at another institution through an approved consortium agreement (such as with the College of Charleston, Trident Technical College, or Acadeum), that violation will be reported to Charleston Southern University and recorded as part of the student's official CSU academic record. Such violations will count toward the student's cumulative total of academic violations at CSU and will be subject to the same policies and consequences as violations that occur in CSU courses.

By action of the Faculty Senate and approval of the Board of Trustees on March 25, 2003. Updated by the Registrar, Chairperson of the Academic Integrity Committee and Assistant to the VPAA, July 2013 and approved by the Faculty Senate October 2013. Revised by the Faculty Senate February 27, 2018, February 19, 2019, February 2020, February 2022, February 2023, July 2025, November 2025, and April 2026.